



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,655	05/30/2001	Kazuyuki Iwata	010645	5148

23850 7590 08/25/2003

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP
1725 K STREET, NW
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

SMITH, JULIE KNECHT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3682

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/866,455

Applicant(s)

Iwata, Kazuyuki et al

Examiner

Chong H. Kim

Group Art Unit

3682

Julie K. Smith

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chong Kim

(3) Nick Bromer

(2) Julie K. Smith

(4)

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Tsukamoto et al., MacAfee et al., Daihatsu Motor

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Regarding claim 1 & 8, Examiner will consider amendment filed 8/6/03. It was agreed that the projection (16f) of Tsukamoto et al. does not contact device body. Regarding claim 16, Applicant agreed to amend claim to further define the plane structure of the case member tentatively. Regarding claim 20, Applicant has tentatively agreed to further define the harness attachment. Examiner will respond to amendment in due time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

JLS 8/20/03